## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (Case No. 15970US01)

In the Application of:

Conf. No.: 4498

February 2, 2009.

Customer No. 23446

U.S. Serial No.:

09/945.200

Filed:

August 30, 2001

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR RANGE EXTENSION OF LOW-

Martin Morris

POWER WIRELESS COMMUNICATION LINKS

Examiner:

Kevin Michael Burd

Group Art Unit:

2631

/Michael T. Cruz/

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via EFS-Web to the United

States Patent and Trademark Office on

Michael T. Cruz Reg. No. 44,636

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE.

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir

This paper responds to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance ("the Examiner's Statement") in the above-identified application and accompanies the issue/publication fee transmittal (part B).

U.S. Application No. 09/945,200, filed August 30, 2001

Attorney Docket No. 15970US01

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance dated February 2, 2009

In Reply to Notice of Allowability mailed December 9, 2008

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner's Statement presents only some of the

reasons for allowance of the claims, and that other reasons also exist for allowing the claims such

as, for example, those set forth more completely in the record as a whole. This interpretation is

consistent with M.P.E.P. § 1302.14, which states that any statement of reasons for allowance

"[i]s not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the details why claims

are allowed and should not be written to specifically or impliedly state all the reasons for

allowance are set forth." M.P.E.P. § 1302.14.

Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner's Statement might imply that the

dependent claims are only allowable because they depend from allowed independent claims.

However, the Examiner's Statement does not discuss any of the other elements of the claimed

subject matter, in particular, those additional elements recited in the dependent claims which

may render the dependent claims independently allowable in view of the specification,

prosecution file history and/or the documents made of record, either alone or in combination.

Finally, Applicant agrees with the Examiner that claims 1-6, 8-15, 17-21, and 24-33 are

allowable in view of all of the documents made of record, either alone or in combination.

However, Applicant does not necessarily agree or disagree with the Examiner's characterization

of the documents made of record, either alone or in combination, or the Examiner's

characterization of recited claim elements. In closing, Applicant respectfully reserves the right

to argue the characterization of the documents of record, either alone or in combination, to argue

Page 2 of 3

U.S. Application No. 09/945,200, filed August 30, 2001

Attorney Docket No. 15970US01

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance dated February 2, 2009

In Reply to Notice of Allowability mailed December 9, 2008

what is allegedly well known, allegedly obvious or allegedly disclosed, or to argue the

characterization of the recited claim elements should that need arise in the future.

If the Examiner has questions, or if Applicant can be of assistance, the Examiner is

invited and encouraged to contact Applicant's representative at the below-listed telephone

number.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees, to charge any fee

deficiencies or to credit any overpayments to the deposit account of McAndrews, Held &

Malloy, Account No. 13-0017.

Dated: February 2, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael T. Cruz/ Michael T. Cruz

Reg. No. 44,636

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd. 500 West Madison Street, 34th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60661

Telephone: (312) 775-8084 Facsimile: (312) 775-8100

Page 3 of 3